

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,918	CHEIFOT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Dailey	2452	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas J. Dailey.

(2) Mark Yang.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

49 and 50

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested claim 50 be rolled up into independent claim 49, and that claim 49 be rewritten as an system claim in order for the claims to proceed to allowance. The applicant agreed to the examiner's suggestions.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00 AM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)